UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

HA MANAGEMENT AND
OAHU PUBLICATIONS INC. AS JOINT
AND/OR SINGLE EMPLOYERS
d/b/a THE HONOLULU ADVERTISER

_	
and	Cases 37-CA-8074
	37-CA-8182
INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE	37-CA-8184
AND WAREHOUSE UNION LOCAL 142	37-CA-8185
	37-CA-8186
	37-CA-8187
	37-CA-8188
	37-CA-8197
	37-CA-8216
and	0. 0,102.10
WIT W	
HAWAII PRINTING AND GRAPHIC	37-CA-8090
COMMUNICATIONS UNION, 413-N;	37-CA-8115
GRAPHIC COMMUNICATION CONFERENCE/	37-CA-8191
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF	37-CA-8208
TEAMSTERS LOCAL 501-M	37-CA-8212
TEAMOTERS LOCAL 301-W	37-0A-0212
and	
unu	
HAWAII NEWSPAPER GUILD, LOCAL 39117	37-CA-8194
CWA, AFL-CIO, CLC	37-CA-8195
OWA, AI L-010, 0L0	37-CA-0193
and	
anu	
HAWAII TYPOGRAPHICAL UNION 37	37-CA-8196
	31-CA-0130
LOCAL 14921 CWA, AFL-CIO	

ORDER¹

The Employer's petition to revoke subpoena duces tecum B- 561658 is denied.

The subpoena seeks information relevant to the matter under investigation and describes with sufficient particularity the evidence sought, as required by Section 11(1)

¹ The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

of the Act and Section 102.31(b) of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Further, the Employer has failed to establish any other legal basis for revoking the subpoenas. See generally *NLRB v. North Bay Plumbing, Inc.*, 102 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 1996); *NLRB v. Carolina Food Processors, Inc.*, 81 F.3d 507 (4th Cir. 1996). ²

Dated, Washington, D.C., February 24, 2011

CRAIG BECKER, MEMBER

MARK GASTON PEARCE, MEMBER

BRIAN E. HAYES, MEMBER

² In it petition to revoke, the Employer submits that the subpoena seeks "confidential and proprietary information which would jeopardize the business operations of OPI." The Employer has failed to substantiate the need for confidentiality of the requested information because it has not identified the specific documents that it seeks to shield from disclosure and the specific harm that would flow from such disclosure. However, if its concerns can be substantiated, the Employer may seek, and, in its discretion, the Region may enter into, a confidentiality agreement protecting the information from disclosure during the investigative phase of this case.